



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/479,245 | 01/07/2000 | ETSURO KISHI | 684.2954 | 2818 |
| 5514 | 7590 02/11/2003 | | | |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LAO, LUN YI | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2673 | |
| | | • | DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Applicant(s)

Kishi

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Application No.

09/479,245

Art Unit Lun-yi Lao 26

nit 2673

| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet | t with the correspondence address | | |
|--|---|--|--|--------------|--|
| | for Reply | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | | | | |
| mailing | date of this communication. | · | | | |
| - If NO p - Failure - Any re | period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the platent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and will expire SIX (6) MC ne application to become | ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 20, 2</u> | 2002 | | · | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act | ion is non-final. | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance ϵ closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$ | except for formal rte Quayle, 1935 | matters, prosecution as to the merits C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | is | |
| Disposi | tion of Claims | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) 1-14 | | is/are pending in the applica | ition. | |
| 4 | la) Of the above, claim(s) | | is/are withdrawn from con | sideration. | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | is/are allowed. | | |
| 6) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) 💢 | Claims 1-14 | are su | ubject to restriction and/or election re | quirement. | |
| | tion Papers | | | | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) accepted of | or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | rawing(s) be held i | in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: a) | □ approved b)□ disapproved by the | ne Examiner. | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | to this Office action | n. | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami | ner. | | | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| _ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr | riority under 35 U | J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | |
| a) L | ☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of: | | | | |
| | 1. U Certified copies of the priority documents hav | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav | e been received i | n Application No. | <u> </u> | |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | au (PCT Rule 17.: | 2(a)). | | |
| - | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | | |
| _ | The translation of the foreign language provisiona Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | | |
| Attachm | | priority under 35 | 0.5.C. 33 120 and/or 121. | | |
| | tice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summa | ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) | | |
| _ | tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | _ | al Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) ☐ Other: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/362,654 Page 2

Art Unit: 2673

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, as illustrate in figures 1, 3A-5 and 9-13;

Species II, as illustrate in figures 2, 6 and 14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/362,654 Page 3

Art Unit: 2673

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lun-yi, Lao whose telephone number is (703) 305-4873.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala, can be reached at (703) 305-4938.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Application/Control Number: 09/362,654

Art Unit: 2673

Page 4

February 6, 2003 Lun-yi Lao

Primary Examiner